

What's Ahead for Embedded Software?

Author: Edward A. LEE

@ University of California, Berkeley

PRESENTER : DONGYEON SHIN

Outline

- ◆ Introduction
- ◆ Frameworks
- ◆ HW-SW Partnership
- ◆ Real-Time Scheduling
- ◆ Interfaces and Types
- ◆ Metaframeworks
- ◆ More Research Problems

Introduction

- Embedded software appears in everything
- Engage the physical world, interacting directly with sensors and actuators
- Research issue about embedded software
 - “How to reconcile a set of domain-specific requirements with the demands of interaction in the physical”

Frameworks

- A set of constraints on components
- A set of benefits that derive from those constraints
- Defines a mode of computation, which governs the interaction of components
- “Understanding suitable models of computations is to understand what makes a framework useful for embedded system design. “

Frameworks

- Four service categories
 - Ontology : Defines what it means to be a component
 - Epistemology – State of knowledge
 - Protocols : Provides mechanisms that dictate how components interact
 - Lexicon : Vocabulary of component interaction
- “The more constraints there are, the more specific it is. Ideally, this specificity comes with benefits”
- Key challenge – Invent frameworks match the application domain

Frameworks

- Concurrency
 - No universal concurrent framework
 - Von Neumann framework
 - It reduces time to a total order of discrete events for correctness
 - In practices, partially ordered at best.
 - Partial ordering makes it difficult to maintain a global system state.

Frameworks

- Sample frameworks
 - Most designers are exposed to only one or two frameworks.
 - Diversity make it hard to select a framework

- Ex) Time
 - View time as a real number
 - View time as discrete
 - View time as partially ordered

Frameworks

- Mixing frameworks
 - Create the union of all the frameworks – extremely complex and difficult to use
 - Choose one concurrent framework and show that all the others are special cases of that – does not acknowledge each model's strengths and weakness
 - Use an architecture description language – design are ADL are a poor match
 - Ex) does not cleanly describe asynchronous message passing
 - Heterogeneously mix frameworks – instead of forming union, preserve their distinct identity
 - Ex) hybrid systems combine finite state machines with continuous time model

Hardware – Software Partnership

- Since the 1970s, functionality has steadily shifted from hardware to software
- Software
 - Primarily sequential execution with a single instruction stream
 - HW resources are multiplexed in time to perform a variety of functions
- Hardware
 - Primarily parallel execution
 - HW resources are not shared

Hardware – Software Partnership

- Designer's task to balance between their sequential and parallel execution styles
- In theory, as embedded processor performance improves, there should be less need for such HW specialization
- OS cannot reliably handle many hard-real-time tasks
 - Component interface definitions need to declare temporal properties, not just a fixed priority
 - Compositions of components must have consistent and non-conflicting temporal properties

Real-Time Scheduling

- It provides some assurances of timely performance given certain component properties
- Rate-monotonic scheduling principle
 - It translate the invocation period into priorities
 - Most methods are not compositional
- Priority inversion
 - Processes interact by entering a monitor to exclusively access a shared resource
 - Priority-based scheduling scheme
 - Processes interact both through the scheduler and through the mutual-exclusion mechanism
 - No coherent compositional semantics, which points to the need for a different scheduling mechanisms entirely

Interfaces and Types

- Formal methods to ensure software's correctness
- Type systems talk only about static structure
- Types system techniques
 - Constrains what a component can say about its interface
 - How to ensure compatibility when designers compose components
 - Describe an interface's dynamic properties using nondeterministic automata
 - Interface properties -> partial order

Interfaces and Types

- Strong typing
 - Extending type systems to program dynamics.
 - How to achieve modularity and reuse without discarding strong typing
 - use polymorphism, reflection, and runtime type inference and type checking
 - Key part of future embedded software research
 - Sufficient syntactic languages support

Metaframework

- All frameworks impose some constraints to achieve certain benefits
 - Stronger constraints, stronger benefits
 - They are unlikely to solve all the framework problems for any complex system
- Mix frameworks heterogeneously
 - One framework is simply a more restricted version of another
 - Mix frameworks hierarchically
 - Ex) Ptolemy project at UC Berkeley

More research problems

- Human-computer interaction
- Networking problem
- Hardware and software design techniques that minimize power consumption